



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/825,661
Applicant : Peter K. T. Pang
Filed : April 16, 2004
TC/A.U. : 1631
Examiner :

Docket No. : 2968-150
Customer No. : 6449
Confirmation No. : 8482

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

January 30, 2006

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed on December 29, 2005, applicants hereby elect group I, claims 21-23, 25, and 31-34, with traverse for prosecution in the present application. Applicants respectfully contend that claim 24 should be examined along with group I. Including claim 24 in group I would not unduly burden the examiner because if the composition and extract of group I is found patentable, claim 24 will also be patentable since it requires the same extract. In addition, some anti-hypertensive substances have other uses and the composition of claim 24 is not necessarily limited to anti-hypertensive uses.

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate extension of time. Any fee for such an extension together with any additional fees that may be due with respect to this paper, may be charged to Counsel's Deposit Account No. 02-2135.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Monica Chin Kitts
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 36,105
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, p.c.
Suite 800, 1425 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202)783-6040

MCK/